

## **STATEMENT ON ETHICS & PUBLICATION: JUST AFRICA JOURNAL**

STADIO and SARP Publishers, as the publishers of the Just Africa Journal (ISSN: 978-0-620-61064-3 and SSN / E-ISSN: / 2957-5141), are committed to maintain high standards of ethical conduct in its publications and values its significant role to develop and contribute to a pool of knowledge within an academic environment. This requires the dedication of all parties involved in publications, albeit authors, reviewers or the publisher. These role-players undertake to strive continuously and unwaveringly to act in an ethical manner that guarantees the quality and integrity of articles prepared for publication.

To address the unique roles and responsibilities of different role-players, the following obligations are placed on the respective role-players:

### **Authors**

Authors are expected to submit impartial and well-founded papers. The proposed article must explore a research topic with adequate supporting references to stimulate the field of study and further academic discussion and development thereof. Authors are required to refrain from false or deliberate incorrect and unfounded statements. This is unacceptable and constitutes unethical conduct, as it undermines the integrity and value of academic standards. Submissions must be thorough, objective and grounded in factual content.

Articles must be original, based on the truthful educational assessment and interpretation of a topic and aimed at provoking academic exploration, insight and development. Where the work or ideas of other contributors are included, appropriate recognition of references will be given. Plagiarism is viewed in a serious light and will not be tolerated. Authors are not allowed to submit the same article or an article that is similar simultaneously to multiple publications. An author is also not allowed to submit any article that has previously been published in another journal. This constitutes unethical behaviour and will not be accepted. Should the author become aware of obvious mistakes in a published article, he or she will assist and support the editor in a retraction or correction of the article to rectify the mistake.

Where multiple authors participate in the development of an article, the corresponding author is responsible to obtain the consent of the respective co-authors in approving the final version and publication of the paper.

Where relevant, authors must provide approval from the relevant research ethics committee, including submitting the ethical approval letter pertaining to their research, when they submit their article for publication. South African authors should further include a declaration that their research fulfilled the legislative requirements pertaining to the personal information of data subjects as provided for in the Protection of Personal Information Act 4 of 2013. These latter legislative requirements, along with the name of the institution that provided ethical approval and the reference number, should further be noted in their article.

### **Editors**

An editor will assess any article based on its academic value. The editor is responsible to take the final decision to accept or reject any article, considering the value of the article, its originality, and relevance to the publication. The editor is not allowed to use the content, information or findings of any article submitted for consideration, for his or her own research, before the publication of an article. Furthermore, any unpublished research or personal

opinions of the editor may not be included in an article without the written consent of the author. Any ethical complaint relating to an article, before or after the publication thereof, will be viewed by the editor in a serious light and will be addressed promptly with appropriate action. The editor treats an article confidentially and may only disclose its content to authorised parties, such as reviewers and the publisher. The editor may only disclose the particulars of reviewers to other parties with the permission of the reviewers. The editor undertakes to address any ethical complaint in a prompt manner and may consult with the author for this purpose.

### **Reviewers**

Any submission received for purposes of review is treated confidentially and may only be discussed with authorised persons, such as the editor. A reviewer is prohibited from utilising information or ideas contained in an unpublished article received for purposes of review, in his or her own research. Reviews are undertaken in an impartial and objective manner where constructive input and comments are provided to enhance the standard and quality of an article. If, for whatever reason, a reviewer is unable to review an article in an objective or timeous manner, the editor will be informed promptly, and the reviewer will be excused from the review process. Any conflict of interest that the reviewer may have in an article, including any competitive -, collaborative - or personal relationship with the author of an article is a ground for recusal from the review process. Any perception of bias must be viewed in a serious light and will be addressed to protect the reputation and integrity of the journal. The reviewer is responsible to immediately report any similarities between the article under review and another paper, albeit published or under consideration, that he or she is aware of. Any plagiarism or falsified information discovered by the reviewer will be reported to the editor immediately.

Also refer to Just Africa's ethical statement to which authors, editors and reviewers should subscribe as published on the website: <http://www.servamus.co.za/index.php/journal>.